# Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 74 (1974) C33-C36 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne - Printed in The Netherlands

# **Preliminary communication**

# THE SYNTHESIS AND SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF MANGANESE PENTACARBONYL PHOSPHINE AND PHOSPHITE CATION DERIVATIVES AND RELATED COMPLEXES

D.J. DARENSBOURG, M. YORK DARENSBOURG, D. DREW<sup>\*</sup> and H.L. CONDER<sup>\*\*</sup> Department of Chemistry, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana 70118 (U.S.A.) (Received May 7th, 1974)

# Summary

The preparations of a variety of monosubstituted manganese carbonyl cationic species of the form  $[Mn(CO)_5 L][PF_6]$  are reported. Infrared spectral (including C-K force constant calculations) and reactivity properties of these derivatives are discussed as well.

Although there are numerous reports of preparations of Group VIB  $M(CO)_5 L$  species (L = Group VA Lewis base) as well as of the  $[V(CO)_5 L]^-$  anions, there is surprisingly little in the literature on the preparation of the isoelectronic  $[Mn(CO)_5 L]^+$  cations. For studies defining the nature and magnitude of the effects on the carbonyl ligand of subtle changes in electronic and steric properties in substituted metal carbonyl complexes, we have developed preparative methods for a variety of  $[Mn(CO)_5 L]^+$  derivatives. In addition, we wish to report our initial studies on the spectral and reactivity properties of these species.

Kruck and Hofler [1] have previously prepared mono- and di-substituted cationic manganese carbonyl derivatives according to the following high pressure synthesis:

$$Mn(CO)_{5-n}L_nCl + AlCl_3 \xrightarrow{250 \text{ atm.}} [Mn(CO)_{6-n}L_n] [AlCl_4]$$
(1)

where  $L = PPh_3$ ,  $P(cyclo-C_6 H_{11})_3$  and *o*-phenanthroline. We report here the preparation of a variety of analogous monosubstituted complexes under mild conditions employing the following two procedures:

<sup>\*</sup>Petroleum Research Fund Postdoctoral Fellow, 1973-74.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Petroleum Research Fund Postdoctoral Feilow, 1972-73.

$$[LMn(CO)_{4}]_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{Na/Hg}} \text{Na}^{\dagger} [LMn(CO)_{4}]^{-} \xrightarrow{\text{EtO}_{2} \text{ CCl}} \xrightarrow{\text{HBF}_{4}} \xrightarrow{\text{HBF}_{3}} [LMn(CO)_{5}][BF_{4}] + \text{EtOH} + \text{NaCl} \qquad (2)$$

 $[Mn(CO)_5 (CH_3 CN)][PF_6] + L \rightarrow [Mn(CO)_5 L][PF_6] + CH_3 CN$ (3)

 $[LMn(CO)_4]_2$  complexes were prepared from  $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$  according to the thermal procedure of Basolo and Wawersik [2], using 1-butanol as solvent. The reduction of the substituted dimeric products was readily effected in THF by Na/Hg amalgam. Subsequent treatment with ethyl chloroformate followed by addition of BF<sub>3</sub> or HBF<sub>4</sub> led to the  $[LMn(CO)_5][BF_4]$  complexes as crystalline products. The complexes where  $L = PPh_3$ , PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph, and P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> were prepared by procedure 2 in purified yield of about 18% based on the starting material  $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ .

In order to provide a more versatile and effective synthesis of these complexes we have investigated the preparation of  $Mn(CO)_{5}L^{+}$  derivatives employing reaction (3).  $[Mn(CO)_5(CH_3CN)][PF_6]$  was prepared in large quantities using  $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$  and  $NOPF_6$  in acetonitrile [3-6]. Recrystallized product was obtained in greater than 61% yield from acetone-Et<sub>2</sub> O as a white powder (m.p. 160° dec.).  $[Mn(CO)_5 PMe_2 Ph][PF_6]$  was obtained by heating a suspension of  $[Mn(CO)_5(CH_3CN)][PF_6]$  with dimethylphenylphosphine in chloroform for 10 h at 60°. The product was obtained as white microcrystals in 83% yield (m.p. 149–151° dec.).  $[Mn(CO)_5 (NC_5 H_5)][PF_6]$  was prepared in a similar manner from  $[Mn(CO)_5(CH_3CN)][PF_6]$  and pyridine at room temperature following a 15 h reaction period. The purified product was obtained in 81% yield as light yellow needles upon recrystallization from acetone—Et<sub>2</sub> O (m.p.  $\sim 150^{\circ}$  dec.). Mono-ligated manganese pentacarbonyl cations containing triphenylphosphine, tri-p-tolylphosphine and 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane were obtained from prolonged room temperature reactions (18-24 h)of  $[Mn(CO)_5(CH_3CN)][PF_6]$  with the corresponding phosphines in acetone solution.  $[Mn(CO)_5 PPh_3][PF_6]$  was isolated as a white solid (m.p. 214° dec.) in 93% yield;  $[Mn(CO)_5 P(p-MeC_6 H_4)_3][PF_6]$  and  $[Mn(CO)_5 (diphos)][PF_6]$ were isolated as light yellow solids in 53% (m.p. 163° dec.) and 41% (m.p. 208° dec.) yields, respectively.

Complexes which resulted from loss of carbon monoxide ligands were also prepared. For example,  $[Mn(CO)_3 (NC_5 H_5)_2 (CH_3 CN)][PF_6]^* [5]$  was prepared from  $[Mn(CO)_5 (CH_3 CN)][PF_6]$  and excess pyridine in acetonitrile at room temperature over a 48 h reaction period in 43% yield (m.p. 168° dec.); whereas,  $[Mn(CO)_3 (NC_5 H_5)_3][PF_6]$  was obtained by a rapid room temperature reaction of  $[Mn(CO)_5 (CH_3 CN)][PF_6]$  with pyridine in the absence of solvent. The product was recrystallized from acetone—Et<sub>2</sub> O to give yellow microcrystals in 64% yield (m.p. 163°). In addition, the tris-acetonitrile complex,  $[Mn(CO)_3 (CH_3 CN)_3][PF_6]$  [5], was obtained in 91% yield from  $[Mn(CO)_5 (CH_3 CN)][PF_6]$  in CH<sub>3</sub> CN at 50° for 5 h (m.p. 130° dec.,  $\nu(CO)$  2063.0 vs, 1973.7 vs).

<sup>\*</sup>This complex was found to readily exchange  $CH_3 CN$  ligand with  $CH_5 CN$  solvent molecules as indicated by NMR studies with  $CD_3 CN$ .

 $[Mn(CO)_5 PR_3][PF_6]$  species were found to rapidly react with primary amines to form carbamoyl compounds [7]. For example,  $[Mn(CO)_5 PMe_2 Ph][PF_6]$  was observed to react with  $C_6 H_{11} NH_2$  or  $CH_3 NH_2$ in  $CH_2 Cl_2$  to form the yellow neutral carbamoyl complexes,  $Mn(CO)_4 [PMe_2 Ph]C(=O)NHC_6 H_{11}$  and  $Mn(CO)_4 [PMe_2 Ph]C(=O)NHCH_3$ . These complexes appear to be primarily the *cis* isomers as indicated by the four band  $\nu(CO)$  pattern<sup>\*</sup>.

The infrared spectra in the  $\nu(CO)$  region (Table 1) show the expected three band pattern for a Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub> L<sup>+</sup> species which is of  $C_{4\nu}$  symmetry with respect to the metal pentacarbonyl moiety  $(2A_1 + E)$ . We report in Table 2 Cotton—Kraihanzel force constants [8] calculated for the CO stretching vibrations in these Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub> L<sup>+</sup> derivatives. As noted in Table 2, the Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub> L<sup>+</sup> derivatives (where L = phosphine or phosphite) exhibit  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ values which are very similar to each other, however smaller than the value in the parent hexacarbonyl cation, with  $k_1$  being greater than  $k_2$  for the triphenylphosphite derivative. The  $k_1$  values for the phosphine and phosphite derivatives are considerably larger than the corresponding value in acetonitrile and pyridine derivatives. At the same time the acetonitrile and pyridine

#### TABLE 1

INFRARED SPECTRA IN THE  $\nu$ (CO) REGION FOR Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub> L<sup>+</sup> AND RELATED SPECIES

Compound <sup>a</sup>	$v(CO) (cm^{-1})^{b}$		
	$\overline{A_1^2}$	A1 1	E
Mn(CO), P(OPh), + c	2153.5w	2083.5m	2063.5vs
Mn(CO), PPh3 <sup>+</sup>	2141.8	2063 (sh)	2052.0
$Mn(CO)_5 P(p-MeC_6 H_4)_3^+$	2141.5	2062 (sh)	2051.5
Mn(CO), PMe, Ph <sup>+</sup>	2141.8	2062 (sh)	2050.3
Mn(CO), (diphos) <sup>+</sup>	2140.6	2062 (sh)	2050.1
$Mn(CO)_{\epsilon}(NC_{\epsilon}H_{\epsilon})^{\dagger}$	2153.5	2041.8	2063.3
$Mn(CO)$ , $(CH, CN)^{+}$	2161.3	2047.3	2073.5
$Mn(CO)_3(NC, H_s)_3(CH_3CN)^+$		2048.0vs	1955.3vs
$Mn(CO)_3(NC_5H_5)_3^+$		2041.0vs	1947.0vs

<sup>a</sup>All compounds existed as the PF<sub>6</sub> salt. <sup>b</sup>Frequencies determined in CH<sub>3</sub> CN and are accurate to  $\pm 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , except for shoulder ( $\pm 3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). <sup>c</sup>All compounds prepared in this study gave satisfactory elemental analysis.

#### TABLE 2

FORCE CONSTANTS FOR THE CO STRETCHING VIBRATIONS IN  $\mathtt{Mn}(\mathtt{CO})_{\mathtt{S}}\,\mathtt{L}^{\star}$  AND RELATED SPECIES  $^a$ 

Compound <sup>b</sup>	k <sub>1</sub>	k2	ki c
Mn(CO),	18.13	18.13	0.22
Mn(CO), P(OPh), <sup>+</sup>	17.74	17.65	0.222
Mn(CO), PPh, <sup>+</sup>	17.37	17.46	0.22
$Mn(CO)_5 P(p-MeC_6 H_4)_3^+$	17.35	17.45	0.225
Mn(CO), PMe, Ph <sup>+</sup>	17.36	17.44	0.22
Mn(CO), (diphos) <sup>+</sup>	17.36	17.43	0.226
$Mn(CO)_{5}(NC_{5}H_{5})^{+}$	16.97	17.67	0.235
$Mn(CO)_{1}(CH_{3}CN)^{+}$	17.05	17.83	0.23

<sup>a</sup>Calculated from frequency data determined in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. <sup>b</sup>All compounds existed as the  $PF_6^-$  salt.  $c_{k_1} = k_c = k_c' = 1/2 k_t$  [8].

<sup>\*</sup>There may, however, be small quantities of the *trans* isomers present. The stereochemical course of this reaction as a function of the amine and the substituted L ligand on the  $Mn(CO)_5^+$  moiety is being further studied in our laboratory.

C36

complexes have  $k_2$  significantly greater than  $k_1$ , the order observed in all other neutral  $Mn(CO)_{s}L$  derivatives as well as isoelectronic Group VIB derivatives.

These results are therefore somewhat unexpected based on our usual ideas concerning the bonding in  $M(CO)_5 L$  derivatives and the effect of bonding on the CO stretching force constants [9]. It may very well be that direct donation of electron density into the  $\pi \star$  orbitals of the equatorial CO ligands by the phosphines or phosphite ligands in  $Mn(CO)_5 L^*$  is responsible for the lowering of  $k_1$  relative to  $k_1$  [10,11].

Further work in progress in our laboratories on these newly acquired  $[Mn(CO)_5 L]^+$  species involves a mechanistic study of their formation from  $[Mn(CO)_5(CH_3CN)]^+$ , more detailed infrared and Raman studies<sup>\*</sup> (including v(CO) infrared intensity measurements), and additional studies of their reactivity with a variety of nucleophilic reagents.

### Acknowledgments

We thank the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research. We also thank the Research Corporation for a grant for the purchase of the Perkin-Elmer 521 infrared spectrophotometer used in this study.

### References

- 2 H. Wawersik and F. Basolo, Inorg. Chem., 5 (1967) 1066.
- 3 N.G. Connelly and L.F. Dahl, Chem. Commun., (1970) 880.
- 4 N.G. Connelly and J.D. Davies, J. Organometal. Chem., 38 (1972) 385.
- 5 R.H. Reimann and E. Singleton, J. Organometal. Chem., 59 (1973)C24.
- 6 R.H. Reimann and E. Singleton, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, (1973) 2658.
- 7 R.J. Angelici and R.W. Brink, Inorg. Chem., 12 (1973) 1067. 8 F.A. Cotton and C.S. Kraihanzel, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 84 (1962) 4432.
- 9 W.A.G. Graham, Inorg. Chem., 7 (1968) 315.
- 10 R.A. Brown and G.R. Dobson, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 6 (1972) 65.
- 11 G.R. Dobson, Trans. N.Y. Acad. Sci., in press.

<sup>1</sup> T. Kruck and H. Hofler, Chem. Ber., 96 (1963) 3035.

<sup>\*</sup> Far-infrared and Raman studies of these complexes are being carried out by Professors G.R. Dobson (North Texas State) and R.A. Levenson (Texas A & M).